EIS001484



Public Service Commission State of North Dakota

600 E Boulevard Ave. Dept. 408
Bismarck, North Dakota 58505-0480
c-mail. msmail.sab@oracle.psc.state.ed us
TDD 800-366-6888

Fax 701-328-2410 Phone 701-328-2400

Executive Secretary

Jon H. Mielke

COMMISSIONERS

RECEIVED

Bruce Hagen President Susan E. Wofald Leo M. Reinbold

FEB 0 8 2000

February 6, 2000

Wendy Dixon
EIS Project Manager
M/S 010, U.S. Department of Energy
Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management
Yucca Mountain Site Characterization Office
P. O. Box 30307
North Las Vegas, NV 89036-0307

SUBJECT:

North Dakota Public Service Commission Comments on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for a Geologic Repository for the Disposal of Spent Nuclear Fuel and High-Level Radioactive Waste at Yucca Mountain, Nye County, Nevada

Dear Ms. Dixon:

The North Dakota Public Service Commission appreciates this opportunity to comment on the U. S. DOE Draft Environmental Impact Statement for Geologic Repository for the Disposal of Spent Nuclear Fuel and High-Level Radioactive Waste at Yucca Mountain, Nye County, Nevada. The purpose of our comments is to oppose the no-action alternatives set forth in the draft.

The North Dakota Public Service Commission is a three-member body created by the constitution of the State of North Dakota and is statutorily charged with the duty to regulate rates and charges for the sale of electricity to consumers within North Dakota.

The North Dakota Public Service Commission's interest in this matter arises from its regulatory responsibilities to ensure the reasonableness of rates charged by Northern States Power Company (NSP) for electric service in North Dakota. NSP is a Minnesota electric company that operates two nuclear generation plants and serves approximately 80,000 retail customers in North Dakota.

The North Dakota Public Service Commission has allowed contributions to the federal nuclear waste fund to be included in the rates NSP charges for electric service in North Dakota. As a result, North Dakota consumers have contributed substantially to development of the Yucca Mountain project and a long term solution to the nuclear waste disposal problem. It is for this reason, and for the reason that the fund was established in the first place, that we oppose the no action alternatives outlined in the draft EIS.

Post-It® Fax Note 7571	Date 2/8/00 pages 2
To Wenky Diston	From Dony Lein)
09/2001 leve of Energy	WAY PSE
Phone #	Phono #101-328-1035
Fax # /nn-9/-7-0739	Fax がファルコスターコルハハ

1

Ms. Wendy Dixon February 8, 2000 Page 2 EIS001484

Leo M. Reinbold Commissioner

We do not oppose including the no-action alternatives in the final EIS. We believe the no-action alternatives provide a base-line perspective from which to compare the benefits of a geologic repository to other alternatives, and that the documentation in the draft EIS is sufficient to conclude that no-action is not an acceptable solution.

Sincercly,

Bruce Hagen

President

2